



Children and Family Services

Child Abuse Prevention Council (CAPC)

State Fiscal Year 2014/15

Name of designated CAPC:

Children's Policy Council

Address: 825 East Hospitality Lane

City: San Bernardino CA 92415

County: San Bernardino

Executive Director/Lead Name:

Kathy Turnbull

State/Province: California

Suite/Building: 2nd Floor

Zip/Postal Code: 92415

Phone Number: 909-383-9677

Website: hss.sbcounty.gov/childrensnetwork

Email: ChildrensNetwork@hss.sbcounty.gov

Indicate how the County's designated Child Abuse Prevention Council is organized:

- 501c3 nonprofit organization
- County operated
- Other

Please describe other CAPC organizational structure:

No other CAPC organizational structure

Select the primary function(s) of the County's designated Child Abuse Prevention Council. Select ALL that apply.

- Advocacy (local, state, and national levels as it relates to safety, permanency, well-being)
- Child Abuse Prevention Month activities
- Child Death Review Team (in a leading role)
- Child Death Review Team participant (not in a leading role)



Children and Family Services

- Direct service provider (other than FRC's)
- Fiscal accountability (for Child Abuse Prevention Network)
- Multi-disciplinary Interview Center (in a leading role)
- Multi-disciplinary Interview Center (not in a leading role)
- Network development (strategic planning, service coordinator)
- Operates family resource center(s) (FRC)
- Parent leadership training and/or engagement
- Program data collection and/or evaluation
- Public education and awareness
- Service provider training and/or professional development
- Mandated Reporter Training (prevention component)
- Mandated Reporter Training (no prevention component)
- County Self-Assessment Development (participant)
- County System Improvement Plan Development (participant)
- Other

Other primary function (please specify)

No other primary function

Other public agency (Please Specify):

No public agency



Children and Family Services

County Need (CSA)

State Fiscal Year 2014/15

County Need:

- Behavioral/Mental Health
- Disparities in Access to Services/Resources
- Domestic Violence
- Isolation
- Emotional Abuse
- Lack of Services/Resources
- Neglect
- Physical Abuse
- Physical Health
- Poverty
- Substance Abuse
- Unemployment
- Other

Specify Other Need:

Foster Care entry rates, staffing issues, and housing/homelessness

Priority Ranking of Need (1=Highest)

- 1. Poverty**
- 2. Substance Abuse**
- 3. Behavioral/Mental Health**
- 4. Neglect**
- 5. Disparities in Access to Services/Resources**
- 6. Domestic Violence**
- 7. Unemployment**
- 8. Emotional abuse**
- 9. Physical abuse**
- 10. Isolation**



Children and Family Services

How was this need identified?

- County Self-Assessment
- Public Demand
- New Law
- Other:
- Unknown

Other:

Review of data from multiple sources by the System Improvement Plan (SIP) Oversight committee

Please describe how need was identified:

Foster Care entry rates highest in 10 years, Center for Social Services Research (CSSR).

US Census: San Bernardino/Riverside highest poverty rates of 25 metro areas.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health needs are on-going problems (SAMHSA).

Neglect is the primary abuse, CSSR.

Disparity for Blacks in receiving services is a continuing problem, CSSR.

Domestic Violence is trending down, but still a problem, kidsdata.



Children and Family Services

General Information

SIP Cycle Start Date: February 1, 2013 (SIP dated start August 19, 2013)

SIP Cycle End Date: March 30, 2018

COUNTY COLLABORATION

Who does County collaborate with, coordinate and/or involve to prevent child abuse and neglect? Check ALL that apply.

- Afterschool and/or child care programs
- Alcohol and other drug programs
- Behavior health, mental health
- Child Abuse Prevention Council
- Disability-focused organizations (includes regional centers, public or private agencies)
- Domestic violence organization
- Early childhood programs (e.g. Early Head Start, Head Start, Pre-K, etc.)
- Faith-based community
- Family support organizations
- First 5 Commission
- Healthcare sector (health systems, hospitals, clinics, private providers)
- Justice System, law enforcement
- Parents or kin (formal or informal groups)
- Private foundations
- Probation and/or parole (juvenile, adults)
- Public benefit agencies (e.g. CalFresh, CalWorks, Section 8, General Assistance, etc.)
- Public Health (includes maternal child health, Child Health Disability prevention, etc.)
- Tribal-focused public and/or private organizations
- Youth (formal or informal groups)
- Court System
- Other



Children and Family Services

Other Collaboration

Additional collaborators include the Kinship Centers, the Public Child Welfare Training Academy, Community Colleges, Cal State University San Bernardino, San Bernardino Unified School District, Court Appointed Support Advocate, Faith based organizations, Children's Fund and the Performance Education and Resource Center.

Please describe how you involve Parents/caregivers in service planning and/or delivery.

Parent Partners were a focus group for the County Self-Assessment (CSA) and participate in System Improvement Plan (SIP) Oversight and subgroups. Parent Partners play an increasing role in assisting reunifying parents and the number of Parent Partners increased from 6 to 11 this year. Caregivers participate in SIP workgroup meetings and Foster Parent Associations.

What challenge or barrier do you face in engaging parents at the system level?

Even those that participate in SIP activities can find the challenges related to systemic planning daunting. The jargon, acronyms and idiosyncrasies of child welfare are difficult for professionals and certainly more so for lay people.

Risk Factors

What is the most frequent risk factor that is driving children into your county's Child Welfare System?

- Childhood trauma
- Domestic Violence
- Family Structure
- History of previous abuse
- Lack of community Resources/Services
- Lack of Parenting Knowledge and Education
- Mental Health
- Poverty
- Substance abuse
- Unemployment
- Commercial Exploitation
- Other

Other Risk Factor(s): Substance abuse, mental health, neglect, domestic violence, Commercial Exploitation, history of abuse, unemployment, poverty.



Children and Family Services

Please specify other risk factors:

Substance abuse and Mental Health issues are significant contributing risk factors to CW involvement.

What is the most frequent type of child abuse substantiations in your County?

- Caretaker Absence/Incapacity
- Emotional Abuse
- Exploitation
- General Neglect
- Physical Abuse
- Severe Neglect
- Sexual Abuse
- Other (Please specify)

Other type of child abuse substantiations

All of the above types occur at significant levels. San Bernardino County has recently put in protocols to address Commercial Sexual Exploitation.

Describe one example of work toward system change happening in your County.

Children and Family Services (CFS) is continuing to implement a multi-pronged systemic change by merging the Safety Organized Practice (SOP) with the expansion of Child Family Team (CFTs) under the Katie A. (Core Practice Model). These approaches have common and complimentary elements that enhance effectiveness (e.g., SOP stresses the building of safety networks, with the work of these supports person organized through CFT meetings).

Whether or not supported with OCAP funds, does your County utilize a 3-Path Differential Response model? Only answer "YES" if your County uses all 3 paths.

- Yes
- No



Children and Family Services

Whether or not supported with OCAP funds, does your County utilize an alternative response model?

Yes

No

Evaluated Out unit sends referrals to families

Does your County anticipate any changes to CAPIT/CBCAP/PSSF expenditures in the current fiscal year?

Yes

No

Additional Comments:

The Service Coordinators assist CFS clients in engaging in the services identified on their case plans provided by CAPTS contractors. The Service Coordinators provide effective assistance in helping CFS clients navigate the service referral process. This in turn has helped reunify parents and other clients improve engagement and participation with contracted service providers.

Additionally, the public population (non-child welfare population) are provided with a Preventive referral to obtain mental health services and/or classes (Parent Education, Anger Management, etc.) that may assist an at-risk family with services. Approximately thirty (30%) percent of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Service referrals were Preventive referrals.



Children and Family Services

County Children's Trust Fund (CCFT)

State Fiscal Year 2014-15

Category in CCTF

- Birth Certificates
- Fundraising
- Gifts
- Bequests
- Interest
- Kids plate
- Other

Balance: \$559,740

Amounts Received: \$433,278

Expenditures: \$763,222

Balance as of June 30, 2015: \$229,796



Children and Family Services

Service Activity and Funding Streams

State Fiscal Year 2014-15

Service Category: Adoption Support Services which includes the following service:

Adoptive Parent Recruitment

Program Name:

Annual Adoption Finalization Event

Is this an Evidence Based Practice or an Evidence Informed Practice?

- Evidence Based Practice
- Evidence Informed Practice
- No
- Unknown

If this service was offered in a variety of curriculums, how many of the curriculums were considered Evidence Based or Evidence Informed?

Not applicable

Program Activity Description:

The Annual Adoption Finalization Event. This event is in its 18th year of existence. To celebrate National Adoption Month and National Finalization Day, a date in November is chosen to finalize the adoption of 60-100 children. They, their families and friends, the Court, Community Partners and Social Workers gather together to celebrate this momentous occasion. Four courtrooms are established at the venue to do the actual finalization. Participants at the Event hear inspirational speeches about Adoption; visit the Heart Gallery, a display of children still seeking permanent homes, have family portraits taken, and enjoy food and children's activities

Is this service provider by one or multiple provider(s)?

- Yes
- No

Service Provider Name

Joint collaboration with San Bernardino County Children and Family Services and the Juvenile Dependency Court

How much of your allocation is being spent for this service?

\$39,562



Children and Family Services

Please specify the OCAP funding stream(s) and amounts associated with this service.

OCAP Funding Stream	Amount
Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) –Adoption Promotion and Support	\$39,562
PSSF – Time Limited Family Reunification	\$0
PSSF – Family Support	\$0
PSSF – Family Preservation	\$0
Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT)	\$0
Total PSSF Funding that Supports this activity	\$39,562
Total OCAP Funding that Supports this Activity	\$39,562

Other funding that supports this activity.

Other Funding Streams	Amount
Corporate	\$0
First 5	\$0
Government	\$0
Individual Donations	\$0
Private Foundations	\$0
Other Non-Listed Funders	\$0

Over/Under Allocation for this Service

\$0

Is there a financial sustainability plan for this program beyond OCAP funds?

- Yes
 No
 Maybe

Would the County continue to invest in this program without OCAP funds?

- Yes
 No



Children and Family Services

In what languages was this program offered?

Languages				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English	<input type="checkbox"/> Arabic	<input type="checkbox"/> Armenian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cambodian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cantonese
<input type="checkbox"/> Farsi	<input type="checkbox"/> Hmong	<input type="checkbox"/> Korean	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandarin	<input type="checkbox"/> Russian
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanish	<input type="checkbox"/> Tagalog	<input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sign Language	<input type="checkbox"/> Punjabi
Other Languages: Interpreter services obtained as needed for other languages.				

Do you record demographic data by families OR by children and parents/caregivers?

- Families
 Children and Parents/Caregivers

Number of Participates Receiving Services

Ethnicity	Number of Children	Number of Children with Disabilities	Number of Parents/Caregivers	Number of Parents/Caregivers with Disabilities
White (non-Hispanic)	27	0	48	0
Hispanic or Latino	27	0	39	1
Black or African American (non-Hispanic)	19	0	22	3
Asian	0	0	1	0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	1	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Two or More Race	15	0	22	0
Total Counts	88	0	133	4

Please provide insight into any increase or decrease in service counts (compared to last year).

There was a slight decrease in the numbers for this year's event compared to previous year. The adoptions finalized at the Event represent about a third of the children adopted in a calendar year. CFS placed 88 children, who ranged in age from 7 months to 16 years old with 106 adoptive parents. Eighteen of these children were part of a sibling set of two or three children.



Children and Family Services

Service Addressed which County Identified Need:

Permanency. There is an on-going need for foster and adoptive families who can care for the hundreds of children who cannot live with their families of origin. The impact of the Finalization Event brings much positive attention to the needs of the children.

Desired Primary Outcome

- Children's Social and emotional development needs are met
- Increased knowledge of parenting and child development
- Families have concrete support in times of need
- Increased parental resilience
- Increased social connections
- No specified outcome
- Nurturing and attachment
- Other

Other Primary Outcome:

Adoptive parents share their special day with family and friends at the Finalization Event. They share the day with dozens of other families who are experiencing uniting children into their families through adoption.

How was this outcome measured?

- Family Development Matrix
- Protective factors survey
- This outcome was not tracked and/or measured
- CWS/CMS
- Pre- and/or post-survey developed in-house
- Validated assessment tool

Did you experience any unexpected challenges with this activity?

- Yes
- No

Did you experience any unexpected benefits with this activity?

- Yes
- No



Children and Family Services

Explain Unexpected Benefits with this activity:

Families have made us aware of what is most important to them on this day. Their experience in court during the actual Finalization is profoundly moving and solidifies legally what their hearts had already claimed.

Every year we work hard to provide inspirational speakers, activities, photos, etc. While all of this is most appreciated, it is the actual Finalization that is the most impactful for the families.

The Adoptions Event is increased support to adoptive families in that many community partners attend the event to support them, including San Bernardino County Supervisors, Department Heads, Heads of many Community Based Organizations, and the media.

Please share one participant success story related to this program. Include client demographics, presenting issues, and the specific success the participant achieved as a result of this program:

An African American grandfather finalized the adoption of his three drug-exposed grandsons at this event. The boys ranged in age from 4 to 9. The boys had a multitude of emotional, physical and learning problems as a result of their early years spent in an unstable and sometimes violent environment. Since entering the grandfather's home 18 months previously, the boys' behavior stabilized. Their physical and emotional needs were met and for the first time, they were having success at school due to regular attendance. The grandfather was most appreciative of the resources and support they were given by their social workers.

The boys were selected to lead the over 1000 people in attendance at the Event in the Pledge of Allegiance. Their names were listed in the program, (including their new last name). They were thrilled to see their names in print and proudly showed the program to anyone who would look. It was clear that the boys were finally 'home'.

Target Population

Child Welfare Category

- Child Welfare Involved CWS Families
- Non-CWS Involved
- Both

What Percentage was Non-CWS?

0 %



Children and Family Services

Target Population

- At Risk Children
- At Risk Parents
- At Risk Families
- Providers
- Community At-Large
- Children
- Families
- Special Populations (please specify)
- Other (Please specify)



Children and Family Services

State Fiscal Year 2014-15

Service Category:

Case Management – Post Adoption Services

Program Name:

Post Adoption Services and Adoption Assistance Program (PAS-AAP)

Post Adoption Service-Historical (PAS-HISTORICAL)

Is this an Evidence Based Practice or an Evidence Informed Practice?

- Evidence Based Practice
- Evidence Informed Practice
- No
- Unknown

Name of the evidence informed practice

Case management services based upon best decisions for children and families including Safety Organized Practice

If this service was offered in a variety of curriculums, how many of the curriculums were considered Evidence Based or Evidence Informed?

Not applicable

Program Activity Description:

Post Adoption Services: Adoption Assistance Program

This program provides Adoption Assistance funding and medical coverage for thousands of previously adopted children. The service is available until the child reaches 18 and sometimes to age 21. The program also provides counseling, referrals, crisis intervention and assistance with navigating systems. Principals of Child and Family Teams, Child and Family Team Meetings and Safety Organized Practice are being incorporated into casework.

Post Adoption Services: Historical Information, Referral and Counseling

PAS-Historical provides historical information from case files to adoptees seeking to know how and why they were placed for adoption. This gives the adoptee a more complete picture of their biological family, of their early life experiences and often propels them into seeking siblings who were not placed with them. The PAS social worker also provides resources and counseling for the adoptee or birth parent who is seeking information.

Is this service provider by one or multiple provider(s)?

- One
- Multiple



Children and Family Services

Service Provider Name

San Bernardino County Children and Family Services

How much of your allocation is being spent for this service?

\$444,089

OCAP Funding Stream	Amount
Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) –Adoption Promotion and Support	\$444,089
PSSF – Time Limited Family Reunification	\$0
PSSF – Family Support	\$0
PSSF – Family Preservation	\$0
Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT)	\$0
Total PSSF Funding that Supports this Activity	\$444,089
Total OCAP Funding that Supports this Activity	\$444,089

Specify Other Funding that supports this activity

Other Funding Streams	Amount
Corporate	\$0
First 5	\$0
Government	\$0
Individual Donations	\$0
Private Foundations	\$0
Other Non-Listed Funders	\$0
Total Other Funding that Supports this Activity	\$0

Over/Under Allocation for this Service

\$0

Is there a financial sustainability plan for this program beyond OCAP funds?

- Yes
- No
- Maybe

Would the County continue to invest in this program without OCAP funds?

- Yes
- No



Children and Family Services

In what languages was this program offered?

Languages				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English	<input type="checkbox"/> Arabic	<input type="checkbox"/> Armenian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cambodian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cantonese
<input type="checkbox"/> Farsi	<input type="checkbox"/> Hmong	<input type="checkbox"/> Korean	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandarin	<input type="checkbox"/> Russian
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanish	<input type="checkbox"/> Tagalog	<input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese	<input type="checkbox"/> Sign Language	<input type="checkbox"/> Punjabi
Other Languages: Interpreter services are obtained as needed for other languages.				

Do you record demographic data by families OR by children and parents/caregivers?

- Families
 Children and Parents/Caregivers

Number of Participates Receiving Services

Ethnicity	Number of Children	Number of Children with Disabilities	Number of Parents/Caregivers	Number of Parents/Caregivers with Disabilities
White (non-Hispanic)	62	140	69	37
Hispanic or Latino	52	90	20	15
Black or African American (non-Hispanic)	31	62	13	15
Asian	2	5	0	0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	2	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	0	1	0
Two or More Race	11	9	6	1
Total Counts	159	308	109	68

Please provide insight into any increase or decrease in service counts (compared to last year):

Services counts are the same compared to 2013/14 Fiscal Year.

Service Addressed which County Identified Need:

Lack of Services/Resources



Children and Family Services

Desired Primary Outcome

- Children's Social and emotional development needs are met
- Increased knowledge of parenting and child development
- Families have concrete support in times of need
- Increased parental resilience
- Increased social connections
- No specified outcome
- Nurturing and attachment
- Other Identity, family connections, lost siblings.

Other Primary outcome:

Family connections – Families have someone to turn to when they don't know where else to turn.

Adoptees and birth parents have their questions answered more completely.

How was this outcome measured?

- Family Development Matrix
- Protective factors survey
- This outcome was not tracked and/or measured
- CWS/CMS
- Pre- and/or post-survey developed in-house
- Validated assessment tool
- Other

Enter the name of the other assessment tool:

CWS/CMS and ETO to track participant demographics and service received

Did you experience any unexpected challenges with this activity?

- Yes
- No

If yes, explain challenges experienced with this activity:

The combination of large numbers of children being placed coupled with State and Federal changes in administration of the program have resulted in large workloads. The process is extremely complex, involving the clients, the Social Workers, Clerical Staff and Eligibility staff. Many AAP issues have critical timelines in order to meet the client's needs and to comply with



Children and Family Services

State and Federal guidelines. In order to meet the timelines, the agency developed a streamline process.

Did you experience any unexpected benefits with this activity?

Yes

No

If yes, explain Unexpected Benefits with this activity:

The new streamlined process improved the work flow in both PAS AAP and PAS Historical caseloads programs.

Please share one participant success story related to this program. Include client demographics, presenting issues, and the specific success the participant achieved as a result of this program:

A fourteen year-old teenager began acting out, became very depressed, refused to go to school, and was harming himself; this child was adopted at birth. His adoptive parents were extremely concerned about his well-being.

They felt they had no control over his behavior and were at a loss as to how to help him. They called our PAS-AAP social workers who referred them for counseling for their son and themselves. She also referred them to a Psychiatrist for medications and encouraged them to have an Individualized Education Plan arranged through the school system. Despite, the parents' best efforts, the teenager continued to struggle. With the help of their PAS-AAP social worker, they were able to locate a residential treatment facility where their son could live in a safe environment while he worked on the issues that were troubling him. The Adoption Assistance Program eased the financial burden of the placement. The parents were also involved in the program, learning new parenting techniques and attending family therapy. Together they learned to communicate better and how to assist their son in dealing with his depression and anger. They were committed to bringing their son home when it was safe and the child returned home within 13 months after receiving residential treatment. The AAP-PAS social worker prepared the parents with mentoring resources and additional parenting support services to smooth the re-entrance of their son into the home.

Their family, son and parents, are doing well. He is interested in joining the military when he completes high school and is currently attending a military academy school. The PAS-AAP social worker assisted is locating the academy.

Target Population

Child Welfare Category

Child Welfare Involved CWS Families

Non-CWS Involved

Both



Children and Family Services

What Percentage was Non-CWS?

85-90%

Target Population

- At Risk Children
- At Risk Parents
- At Risk Families
- Providers
- Community At-Large
- Children
- Families
- Special Populations:
- Other (Please specify)

Specify Special Population(s):

Adopted children and Adoptive Families



Children and Family Services

Service Activity and Funding Streams

State Fiscal Year 2014-15

Service Category: Behavior Health, Mental Health which includes the following services:

- Individual Therapy
- Family/Couples Therapy
- Group Therapy
- Domestic Violence Therapy
- Anger Management
- Parent Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT)
- Psychological Assessments
- Psychological Testing
- Bonding/Attachment Assessments

Program Name:

Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Services (CAPTS)

Is this an Evidence Based Practice or an Evidence Informed Practice?

- Evidence Based Practice
 Evidence Informed Practice
 No
 Unknown

Name of the Evidence Based Practice:

Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy, Trauma-Focused Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy

If this service was offered in a variety of curriculums, how many of the curriculums were considered Evidence Based?

All

Program Activity Description

Individual Therapy – Insight-oriented psychotherapy to various behavioral treatment strategies. In determining the specific type of individual therapy, caseworkers must consider the family's or individual's current situation, their ability to verbalize feelings and their capacity to make changes in their lives. Some of the issues to be addressed in individual therapy are past history of abuse; attitudes toward violence; cognitive patterns; anger/impulse control; sexuality; managing stress; and substance abuse.

Family/Couple and Group Therapy – Offers unique opportunities to work on relationship issues, such as trust, individuation, and self-responsibility. It may be used as an adjunct to other types of treatment and support for maltreating parents. Group therapy is designed to enhance interpersonal communication.

Parent Child Interactive Therapy (PCIT) – Intensive positive interaction training involving the use of live coaching and incorporates both parent and child within the treatment session.

Anger Management Classes – A training session that provides knowledge enhancement in self-awareness, self-control, social awareness, and relationship management. Curriculum provides education for people who



Children and Family Services

are interested in or who need to learn how to deal with their anger or someone else's anger in a positive, functional way.

Psychological Evaluation – A system of assessing an individual's development, behavior, intellect, personality, emotional, and social functioning. Methods that may be used by the therapist may include, but are not limited to, interviewing and observing the client and administering mental competency tests.

Is this service provider by one or multiple provider(s)?

- One
 Multiple

Enter the number of estimated providers for this service:

57

How much of your allocation is being spent for this service?

\$1,886,306

Please specify the OCAP funding stream(s) and amounts associated with this service.

OCAP Funding Stream	Amount
Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) –Adoption Promotion and Support	\$0
PSSF – Time Limited Family Reunification	\$444,664
PSSF – Family Support	\$405,822
PSSF – Family Preservation	\$491,658
Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT)	\$544,159
Total PSS Funding that supports this activity	\$1,342,144
Total OCAP Funding that Supports this Activity	\$1,886,303

Other funding that supports this activity.

Other Funding Streams	Amount
Corporate	\$0
First 5	\$0
Government	\$593,928
Individual Donations	\$0
Private Foundations	\$0
Other Non-Listed Funders	\$0
Total Other Funding that supports this activity:	\$593,928

Under Allocation for this Service

\$593,928



Children and Family Services

Is there a financial sustainability plan for this program beyond OCAP funds?

Yes

No

Maybe

Would the County continue to invest in this program without OCAP funds?

Yes

No

In what languages was this program offered?

Languages				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English	<input type="checkbox"/> Arabic	<input type="checkbox"/> Armenian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cambodian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cantonese
<input type="checkbox"/> Farsi	<input type="checkbox"/> Hmong	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Korean	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandarin	<input type="checkbox"/> Russian
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanish	<input type="checkbox"/> Tagalog	<input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese	<input type="checkbox"/> Sign Language	<input type="checkbox"/> Punjabi
Other Languages: Japanese, German, Italian, Swedish, Swahili, Taiwanese, Igbo				

Do you record demographic data by families OR by children and parents/caregivers?

Families

Children and Parents/Caregivers

Number of Participates Receiving Services

Ethnicity	Number of Children	Number of Children with Disabilities	Number of Parents/Caregivers	Number of Parents/Caregivers with Disabilities
White (non-Hispanic)	460	17	626	52
Hispanic or Latino	910	18	984	43
Black or African American (non-Hispanic)	214	9	275	30
Asian	15	1	38	0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	17	1	20	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Two or More Race	459	12	500	1
Total Counts	2075	58	2443	126



Children and Family Services

Please provide insight into any increase or decrease in service counts (compared to last year):

Unable to compare as the prior year's reports data was based upon Funding Sources and this year's criteria is now based upon Service Categories. The County will be able to provide a comparison on the 2015/16 report.

Service Addressed which County Identified Need:

Behavioral/Mental Health

Desired Primary Outcome

- Children's Social and emotional development needs are met
- Increased knowledge of parenting and child development
- Families have concrete support in times of need
- Increased parental resilience
- Increased social connections
- No specified outcome
- Nurturing and attachment
- Other

How was this outcome measured?

- Family Development Matrix
- Protective factors survey
- This outcome was not tracked and/or measured
- CWS/CMS
- Pre- and/or post-survey developed in-house
- Validated assessment tool
- Other

Enter the name of the other assessment tool:

CWS/CMS and ETO to track participant demographics and service received

Did you experience any unexpected challenges with this activity?

- Yes
- No



Children and Family Services

Did you experience any unexpected benefits with this activity?

- Yes
 No

Please share one participant success story related to this program. Include client demographics, presenting issues, and the specific success the participant achieved as a result of this program:

This family came to the attention of the department at the request of the mother in August of 2013. The mother was able to walk into the office and request to speak with someone regarding her children. Mother reported that in the past a worker had come out to the home and "they all lied about everything that they reported to the worker." Mother explained that the father is seriously addicted to crystal meth and becomes violent and paranoid when he is under the influence. She went on to report that he has told her that if he can't have her no one can. Mother shared that when he is using he is aggressive and does inappropriate and violent things to her and that this is often done in the presence of the children. She reported that father allowed her to leave the home to work but under the premise that she would leave the children in his care. The social worker called in a new referral and went out to investigate and the children were removed and placed into foster care.

Father was referred to domestic violence classes and anger management classes and substance abuse counseling. Mother was referred to domestic violence education and counseling. Both mother and father attended classes as needed and were able to show that they benefitted from the classes.

The children were reunified with the parents in February 2015 on a family maintenance plan. Father and mother have made and followed through with maintaining a safety plan for the children and were able to abstain from engaging in domestic violence. Sadly father relapsed on substances and checked into the hospital for an evaluation. Father then allowed mother to move out of the home with the children and he acknowledged to the court that he had slipped and that the children would remain safe with the mother while he took time to rebuild his recovery. Father was able to restrain from any domestic violence and immediately considered the children's safety and went into a treatment center.

Family maintenance was dismissed with custody to mother and family law court orders to father. Father remains hopeful of his progress.

Target Population

Child Welfare Category

- Child Welfare Involved CWS Families
 Non-CWS Involved
 Both



Children and Family Services

What Percentage was Non-CWS?

30.3%

Target Population

- At Risk Children
- At Risk Parents
- At Risk Families
- Providers
- Community At-Large
- Children
- Families
- Special Populations (Please specify)
- Other (Please specify)



Children and Family Services

Service Activity and Funding Streams

State Fiscal Year 2014-15

Service Category: Domestic Violence Classes

Program Name:

Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Services (CAPTS) - Domestic Violence Classes

Is this an Evidence Based Practice or an Evidence Informed Practice?

- Evidence Based Practice
- Evidence Informed Practice
- No
- Unknown

If this service was offered in a variety of curriculums, how many of the curriculums were considered Evidence Based or Evidence Informed?

Not applicable

Program Activity Description:

Domestic Violence classes help clients gain insight into domestic violence issue and consequences and provide tools to offenders to better manage anger and aggression and to develop critical skills needed to maintain a non-destructive life style. Survivors attend separate classes, to process their experience, begin the healing process, and develop critical skills needed to maintain a non-destructive life style.

Is this service provider by one or multiple provider(s)?

- One
- Multiple

Enter the number of estimated providers for this service:

31

How much of your allocation is being spent for this service?

\$29,557



Children and Family Services

Please specify the OCAP funding stream(s) and amounts associated with this service.

OCAP Funding Stream	Amount
Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) –Adoption Promotion and Support	\$0
PSSF – Time Limited Family Reunification	\$0
PSSF – Family Support	\$19,312
PSSF – Family Preservation	\$3,159
Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT)	\$7,086
Total PSSF Funding that Supports this Activity	\$22,471
Total OCAP Funding that Supports this Activity	\$29,557

Other funding that supports this activity.

Other Funding Streams	Amount
Corporate	\$0
First 5	\$0
Government	\$31,990
Individual Donations	\$0
Private Foundations	\$0
Other Non-Listed Funders	\$0
Total Other Funding that supports this activity:	\$31,990

Under Allocation for this Service

\$31,990

Is there a financial sustainability plan for this program beyond OCAP funds?

- Yes
 No
 Maybe

Would the County continue to invest in this program without OCAP funds?

- Yes
 No



Children and Family Services

In what languages was this program offered?

Languages				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English	<input type="checkbox"/> Arabic	<input type="checkbox"/> Armenian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cambodian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cantonese
<input type="checkbox"/> Farsi	<input type="checkbox"/> Hmong	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Korean	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandarin	<input type="checkbox"/> Russian
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanish	<input type="checkbox"/> Tagalog	<input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese	<input type="checkbox"/> Sign Language	<input type="checkbox"/> Punjabi
Other Languages: Japanese, German, Italian, Swedish, Swahili, Taiwanese, Igbo				

Do you record demographic data by families OR by children and parents/caregivers?

- Families
 Children and Parents/Caregivers

Number of Participates Receiving Services

Ethnicity	Number of Children	Number of Children with Disabilities	Number of Parents/Caregivers	Number of Parents/Caregivers with Disabilities
White (non-Hispanic)	5	0	78	7
Hispanic or Latino	3	0	113	6
Black or African American (non-Hispanic)	1	0	38	6
Asian	0	0	3	0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	6	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Two or More Race	3	0	56	4
Total Counts	12	0	294	23

Please provide insight into any increase or decrease in service counts (compared to last year).

Unable to compare as the prior year's reports data was based upon Funding Sources and this year's criteria is now based upon Service Categories. The County will be able to provide a comparison on the 2015/16 report.



Children and Family Services

Service Addressed which County Identified Need:

Domestic Violence

If no County Identified Need is showing in the question above,

Desired Primary Outcome

- Children's Social and emotional development needs are met
- Increased knowledge of parenting and child development
- Families have concrete support in times of need
- Increased parental resilience
- Increased social connections
- No specified outcome
- Nurturing and attachment
- Other

How was this outcome measured?

- Family Development Matrix
- Protective factors survey
- This outcome was not tracked and/or measured
- CWS/CMS
- Pre- and/or post-survey developed in-house
- Validated assessment tool
- Other

Enter the name of the other assessment tool:

CWS/CMS, post assessment survey, and ETO to track participant demographics and service received

Did you experience any unexpected challenges with this activity?

- Yes
- No

Did you experience any unexpected benefits with this activity?

- Yes
- No



Children and Family Services

Please share one participant success story related to this program. Include client demographics, presenting issues, and the specific success the participant achieved as a result of this program:

The family came to the attention of the department in late March, 2014 with allegations of general neglect due to a domestic dispute a few weeks back, involving father and mother, father threatened to harm others as well as himself, with weapons in the presence of children. Mother had temporarily taken the children to stay with family members to protect herself and the children. Mother indicated that there had been ongoing and regular domestic violence with the couple throughout the marriage.

Father eventually admitted to the domestic violence but minimized his account by stating that they “had fought worse before”.

After an initial meeting with the family it was determined that a safety plan was necessary to further protect the family from domestic violence until a Team Decision Meeting (TDM) to be held with CFS. Both mother and father understood and agreed to the safety plan at this time.

On the date of the TDM, the parents shared that they had broken the safety plan, by mother taking the children and moving home with the father. Father became angry during the TDM and the TDM ended without completion. CFS staff determined that the parents had failed to protect the children and removed them from the parents and placed them with family. It was apparent at this time that mother held herself responsible for the family violence and things could change if she were nicer in her communication with father.

Both parents were offered reunification services. Both parents were offered a case plan that included, counseling, parenting and domestic violence classes. Mother eventually moved out of the home after further incidents of abuse and filed a Petition for Dissolution of Marriage and a Restraining Order. Both parents worked on services as requested.

By November 2014, mother had completed 12 sessions of individual counseling pertaining to domestic violence and its impact on self and children, parenting classes, domestic violence education classes and 8 group sessions of therapy. Mother was able to benefit from resources offered to her. Mother found insight into the cycle of violence that she was living in, co-dependency and was able to better understand herself and the choices she had made. The services offered to mother, allowed her to work towards healthy boundaries for herself and her children. Soon the children were reunified with mother and the dependency case was dismissed.

Target Population

Child Welfare Category

- Child Welfare Involved CWS Families
- Non-CWS Involved
- Both



Children and Family Services

What Percentage was Non-CWS?

10.6%

Target Population

- At Risk Children
- At Risk Parents
- At Risk Families
- Providers
- Community At-Large
- Children
- Families
- Special Populations (Please specify)
- Other (Please specify)



Children and Family Services

Service Activity and Funding Streams

State Fiscal Year 2014-15

Service Category: Family Resource Center which includes the following service:

Kinship Support Services Program

Program Name:

Kinship Support Services Program

Is this an Evidence Based Practice or an Evidence Informed Practice?

- Evidence Based Practice
- Evidence Informed Practice
- No
- Unknown

Name of the evidence informed practice:

Case management services based upon best decisions for children and families

If this service was offered in a variety of curriculums, how many of the curriculums were considered Evidence Based or Evidence Informed?

Not applicable

Program Activity Description

Kinship Family Resource Centers offer community-based, family-focused, and culturally sensitive facilities that provide programs and services based on the needs of the families. These centers promote both the strengthening of kinship families through formal and information support and the restoration of a strong sense of community.

Case management activities include intake, assessment, goal-setting, service planning linkage to services, monitoring, evaluation and on-going documentation to track effectiveness of services in addressing the client/family needs, and non-therapeutic crisis intervention if needed. Respite care, short-term temporary relief from the daily challenges of caregiving, is provided to sustain the caregiver's health and well-being.

This population includes relative caregivers and children/youth that are: placed in homes by Juvenile Court; at-risk of becoming dependents of the Juvenile Court; voluntary placements including legal guardian and adoptive parent of formerly dependent children.

Two (2) contracted service providers:

Westside Christian Center

Mental Health Systems – Helping Hands



Children and Family Services

How much of your allocation is being spent for this service?

\$86,081

Please specify the OCAP funding stream(s) and amounts associated with this service.

OCAP Funding Stream	Amount
Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) –Adoption Promotion and Support	\$0
PSSF – Time Limited Family Reunification	\$0
PSSF – Family Support	\$0
PSSF – Family Preservation	\$0
Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT)	\$86,081
Total PSSF Funding that Supports this Activity	\$0
Total OCAP Funding that Supports this Activity	\$86,081

Other funding that supports this activity.

Other Funding Streams	Amount
Corporate	\$0
First 5	\$0
Government	\$0
Individual Donations	\$0
Private Foundations	\$0
Other Non-Listed Funders	\$0
Total Other Funding that Supports this Activity	\$0

Over/Under Allocation for this Service

\$0

Is there a financial sustainability plan for this program beyond OCAP funds?

Yes

No

Maybe

Would the County continue to invest in this program without OCAP funds?

Yes

No



Children and Family Services

In what languages was this program offered?

Languages				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English	<input type="checkbox"/> Arabic	<input type="checkbox"/> Armenian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cambodian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cantonese
<input type="checkbox"/> Farsi	<input type="checkbox"/> Hmong	<input type="checkbox"/> Korean	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandarin	<input type="checkbox"/> Russian
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanish	<input type="checkbox"/> Tagalog	<input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese	<input type="checkbox"/> Sign Language	<input type="checkbox"/> Punjabi
Other Languages:				

Do you record demographic data by families OR by children and parents/caregivers?

- Families
 Children and Parents/Caregivers

Number of Participants Receiving Services

Ethnicity	Number of Children	Number of Children with Disabilities	Number of Parents/Caregivers	Number of Parents/Caregivers with Disabilities
White (non-Hispanic)	131	0	110	2
Hispanic or Latino	317	10	183	0
Black or African American (non-Hispanic)	387	10	215	4
Asian	2	0	2	0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	9	0	5	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	8	0	5	0
Two or More Race	15	0	0	0
Other	6	15	6	20
Total Counts	875	35	526	26

Please provide insight into any increase or decrease in service counts (compared to last year):

Unable to compare as the prior year's reports data was based upon Funding Sources and this year's criteria is now based upon Service Categories. The County will be able to provide a comparison on the 2015/16 report.



Children and Family Services

Service Addressed which County Identified Need:

Disparities in Access to Services/Resources

Desired Primary Outcome

- Children's Social and emotional development needs are met
- Increased knowledge of parenting and child development
- Families have concrete support in times of need
- Increased parental resilience
- Increased social connections
- No specified outcome
- Nurturing and attachment
- Other

How was this outcome measured?

- Family Development Matrix
- Protective factors survey
- This outcome was not tracked and/or measured
- CWS/CMS
- Pre- and/or post-survey developed in-house
- Validated assessment tool
- Other

Did you experience any unexpected challenges with this activity?

- Yes
- No

Did you experience any unexpected benefits with this activity?

- Yes
- No

Please share one participant success story related to this program. Include client demographics, presenting issues, and the specific success the participant achieved as a result of this program:

Kin-children had a great week at camp this summer, making new friends and rekindling old friendships. Many of the children, who had bonded with their camp directors, expressed how much they missed them since last year.



Children and Family Services

Kinship Support Services provided van transportation for twelve (12) of our program's kin-kids to ensure the children would not miss out on an opportunity to enjoy the benefits of the camp experience. The children were able to have the opportunity to experience the great outdoors—without all the distractions of modern-day life. We have found that a week away at camp helps to further their social development, hands-on learning skills, as well as interactions with other children their age.

Four (4) caregivers were able to get much needed rest, relaxation and rejuvenate themselves as they took full advantage of this week-long respite. This time of the year is always a “win-win” for the caregivers and the children. Caregivers and their children are quick to affirm their genuine gratitude for all that the Kinship Support Services does for their families.

Target Population

Child Welfare Category

- Child Welfare Involved CWS Families
- Non-CWS Involved
- Both

What Percentage was Non-CWS?

55%

Target Population

- At Risk Children
- At Risk Parents
- At Risk Families
- Providers
- Community At-Large
- Children
- Families
- Special Populations (please specify):
- Other (Please specify)



Children and Family Services

Service Activity and Funding Streams

State Fiscal Year 2014-15

Service Category: Parent Education Classes

Program Name:

Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Services (CAPTS) – Parent Education Classes

Is this an Evidence Based Practice or an Evidence Informed Practice?

- Evidence Based Practice
 Evidence Informed Practice
 No
 Unknown

If this service was offered in a variety of curriculums, how many of the curriculums were considered Evidence Based or Evidence Informed?

Not applicable

Program Activity Description

Child development, home management, and consumer education provided through social services and/or specialized formal instruction and practice in parenting skill achievement in accordance with Welfare and Institutions Code, Section 16507.7. Program can be targeted to adults and/or pregnant/parenting teens.

Is this service provider by one or multiple provider(s)?

- One
 Multiple

Enter the number of estimated providers for this service:

43

How much of your allocation is being spent for this service?

\$141,721

Please specify the OCAP funding stream(s) and amounts associated with this service.

OCAP Funding Stream	Amount
Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) – Adoption Promotion and Support	\$0
PSSF – Time Limited Family Reunification	\$0
PSSF – Family Support	\$107,043
PSSF – Family Preservation	\$15,792
Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT)	\$18,886
Total PSSF Funding that Supports this Activity	\$122,835
Total OCAP Funding that Supports this Activity	\$141,721



Children and Family Services

Other funding that supports this activity.

Other Funding Streams	Amount
Corporate	\$0
First 5	\$0
Government	\$80,088
Individual Donations	\$0
Private Foundations	\$0
Other Non-Listed Funders	\$0
Total Other Funding that supports this activity:	\$80,088

Under Allocation for this Service

\$80,088

Is there a financial sustainability plan for this program beyond OCAP funds?

- Yes
 No
 Maybe

Would the County continue to invest in this program without OCAP funds?

- Yes
 No

In what languages was this program offered?

Languages				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English	<input type="checkbox"/> Arabic	<input type="checkbox"/> Armenian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cambodian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cantonese
<input type="checkbox"/> Farsi	<input type="checkbox"/> Hmong	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Korean	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandarin	<input type="checkbox"/> Russian
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanish	<input type="checkbox"/> Tagalog	<input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese	<input type="checkbox"/> Sign Language	<input type="checkbox"/> Punjabi
Other Languages: Japanese, German, Italian, Swedish, Swahili, Taiwanese, Igbo				

Do you record demographic data by families OR by children and parents/caregivers?

- Families
 Children and Parents/Caregivers



Children and Family Services

Number of Participates Receiving Services

Ethnicity	Number of Children	Number of Children with Disabilities	Number of Parents/ Caregivers	Number of Parents/ Caregivers with Disabilities
White (non-Hispanic)	5	1	274	17
Hispanic or Latino	11	0	394	18
Black or African American (non-Hispanic)	3	0	127	17
Asian	0	0	16	0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	8	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Two or More Race	9	0	6	0
Total Counts	28	1	825	52

Please provide insight into any increase or decrease in service counts (compared to last year):

Unable to compare as the prior year's reports data was based upon Funding Sources and this year's criteria is now based upon Service Categories. The County will be able to provide a comparison on the 2015/16 report.

Service Addressed which County Identified Need:

Neglect

Desired Primary Outcome

- Children's Social and emotional development needs are met
- Increased knowledge of parenting and child development
- Families have concrete support in times of need
- Increased parental resilience
- Increased social connections
- No specified outcome
- Nurturing and attachment
- Other



Children and Family Services

How was this outcome measured?

- Family Development Matrix
- Protective factors survey
- This outcome was not tracked and/or measured
- CWS/CMS
- Pre- and/or post-survey developed in-house
- Validated assessment tool
- Other

Enter the name of the other assessment tool:

CWS/CMS, post assessment survey, and ETO to track participant demographics and service received

Did you experience any unexpected challenges with this activity?

- Yes
- No

Did you experience any unexpected benefits with this activity?

- Yes
- No

Please share one participant success story related to this program. Include client demographics, presenting issues, and the specific success the participant achieved as a result of this program:

This family came to the attention of the department in February 2014. An IR referral was called in regarding an infant with a fractured head was at the Loma Linda Hospital and the family's explanation of events kept changing and did not appear to correlate with the injuries sustained by the child. The injury was deemed non-accidental and the children were taken into custody. Mother failed her polygraph test and was arrested for felony child abuse.

The mother and father agreed to a case plan that involved parenting classes, individual therapy and other services as needed. Father had the children returned to his care and custody within 2 months and appeared to be loving and capable of parenting the children. Father enrolled in parenting classes and it was reported that father showed significant improvements in his parenting skills and was highly motivated throughout parenting to learn everything he could. Father also was enrolled in Individual therapy. The therapist reported that "father showed decreased signs of anxiety, increased knowledge of how to manage his stress and the ability to reach out for help when needed."

Mother was released from custody and enrolled into parenting classes and individual classes while working on a reunification plan to be reunited. Mother completed her parenting classes



Children and Family Services

and individual therapy. It was said that mother “was positive and proactive in her participation.” Mother was reunited and the agency reported, “mother is respectful and knowledgeable of different types of child abuse, child neglect and child endangerment and is well aware of her mistake. She is a loving and nurturing mother as is evident in her interactions with her children.”

In May of 2015, this case was dismissed and the family was able to move on with better parenting skills and the ability to ask for help in a time of need.

Additional Comments:

Target Population

Child Welfare Category

- Child Welfare Involved CWS Families
- Non-CWS Involved
- Both

What Percentage was Non-CWS?

10.8%

Target Population

- At Risk Children
- At Risk Parents
- At Risk Families
- Providers
- Community At-Large
- Children
- Families
- Special Populations
- Other (Please specify)



Children and Family Services

Service Activity and Funding Streams

State Fiscal Year 2014-15

Service Category: Peer Support, which includes:

Support Groups Services

Program Name:

Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Services (CAPTS)

Is this an Evidence Based Practice or an Evidence Informed Practice?

- Evidence Based Practice
- Evidence Informed Practice
- No
- Unknown

Program Activity Description

A group of people led by a therapist who provide moral support, information, and advice to each other on problems relating to some shared characteristics or experience.

Is this service provider by one or multiple provider(s)?

- One
- Multiple

Enter the number of estimated providers for this service:

35 providers

How much of your allocation is being spent for this service?

\$1,590



Children and Family Services

Please specify the OCAP funding stream(s) and amounts associated with this service.

OCAP Funding Stream	Amount
Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) –Adoption Promotion and Support	\$0
PSSF – Time Limited Family Reunification	\$240
PSSF – Family Support	\$30
PSSF – Family Preservation	\$720
Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT)	\$600
Total PSSF Funding that Supports this Activity	\$990
Total OCAP Funding that Supports this Activity	\$1,590

Other funding that supports this activity.

Other Funding Streams	Amount
Corporate	\$0
First 5	\$0
Government	\$0
Individual Donations	\$0
Private Foundations	\$0
Other Non-Listed Funders	\$0
Total Other Funding that Supports this Activity	\$0

Over/Under Allocation for this Service

\$0

Is there a financial sustainability plan for this program beyond OCAP funds?

- Yes
- No
- Maybe

Would the County continue to invest in this program without OCAP funds?

- Yes
- No



Children and Family Services

In what languages was this program offered?

Languages				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English	<input type="checkbox"/> Arabic	<input type="checkbox"/> Armenian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cambodian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cantonese
<input type="checkbox"/> Farsi	<input type="checkbox"/> Hmong	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Korean	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandarin	<input type="checkbox"/> Russian
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanish	<input type="checkbox"/> Tagalog	<input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese	<input type="checkbox"/> Sign Language	<input type="checkbox"/> Punjabi
Other Languages: Japanese, German, Italian, Swedish, Swahili, Taiwanese, Igbo				

Do you record demographic data by families OR by children and parents/caregivers?

- Families
- Children and Parents/Caregivers

Number of Participants Receiving Services

Ethnicity	Number of Children	Number of Children with Disabilities	Number of Parents/Caregivers	Number of Parents/Caregivers with Disabilities
White (non-Hispanic)	7	0	1	0
Hispanic or Latino	0	1	1	0
Black or African American (non-Hispanic)	0	0	1	0
Asian	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Two or More Race	0	0	0	0
Total Counts	7	1	3	0

Please provide insight into any increase or decrease in service counts (compared to last year).

Unable to compare as the prior year's reports data was based upon Funding Sources and this year's criteria is now based upon Service Categories. The County will be able to provide a comparison on the 2015/16 report.



Children and Family Services

Service Addressed which County Identified Need:

Disparities in Access to Services/Resources

If no County Identified Need is showing in the question above,

Desired Primary Outcome

- Children's Social and emotional development needs are met
- Increased knowledge of parenting and child development
- Families have concrete support in times of need
- Increased parental resilience
- Increased social connections
- No specified outcome
- Nurturing and attachment
- Other

How was this outcome measured?

- Family Development Matrix
- Protective factors survey
- This outcome was not tracked and/or measured
- CWS/CMS
- Pre- and/or post-survey developed in-house
- Validated assessment tool
- Other

Enter the name of the other assessment tool:

CWS/CMS, post assessment survey, and ETO to track participant demographics and service received

Did you experience any unexpected challenges with this activity?

- Yes
- No



Children and Family Services

Did you experience any unexpected benefits with this activity?

Yes

No

Please share one participant success story related to this program. Include client demographics, presenting issues, and the specific success the participant achieved as a result of this program:

A child came to the attention of CFS in October 2013 when mother was accused of inflicting severe physical harm upon a child left in her care. The child was removed from the parent's home pending the investigation and placed with the paternal grandparents.

The parents failed to benefit from those services attended and failed to complete others. During the case the child's relationship with mother was strained and they had difficulty communicating. The child began making bad choices for herself, hanging out with the wrong crowds and was acting out angrily at family members.

Due to the issues in the family with parents and concerning behaviors of the child, she was enrolled with counseling services and by August 2014 had completed 8 sessions. The child's behaviors and attitude began to improve.

In April 2015, the child had completed the counseling and support group sessions. The social worker reported the child made significant progress in changing her attitude and behavior. She was more respectful towards her caregivers and was making good decisions regarding her choice of friends. Mother was incarcerated by this time but was writing to the child, and the child enjoyed receiving letters from her mother.

Target Population

Child Welfare Category

Child Welfare Involved CWS Families

Non-CWS Involved

Both

What Percentage was Non-CWS?

27.3%



Children and Family Services

Target Population

- At Risk Children
- At Risk Parents
- At Risk Families
- Providers
- Community At-Large
- Children
- Families
- Special Populations (please specify)
- Other (Please specify)



Children and Family Services

Service Activity and Funding Streams

State Fiscal Year 2014-15

Service Category: Other, which includes:

Life Skills Classes

Program Name:

Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Services (CAPTS) – Life Skills Classes

Is this an Evidence Based Practice or an Evidence Informed Practice?

- Evidence Based Practice
- Evidence Informed Practice
- No
- Unknown

If this service was offered in a variety of curriculums, how many of the curriculums were considered Evidence Based or Evidence Informed?

None

Program Activity Description

Provide basic life skills to teach family economics and self-sufficiency (such as job preparation and search, budgeting, and food preparation).

Is this service provider by one or multiple provider(s)?

- One
- Multiple

Enter the number of estimated providers for this service:

32

How much of your allocation is being spent for this service?

\$1,525



Children and Family Services

Please specify the OCAP funding stream(s) and amounts associated with this service.

OCAP Funding Stream	Amount
Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) –Adoption Promotion and Support	\$0
PSSF – Time Limited Family Reunification	\$1,190
PSSF – Family Support	\$335
PSSF – Family Preservation	\$0
Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT)	\$0
Total PSSF Funding that Supports this Activity	\$1,525
Total OCAP Funding that Supports this Activity	\$1,525

Other funding that supports this activity.

Other Funding Streams	Amount
Corporate	\$0
First 5	\$0
Government	\$0
Individual Donations	\$0
Private Foundations	\$0
Other Non-Listed Funders	\$0

Over/Under Allocation for this Service

\$0

Is there a financial sustainability plan for this program beyond OCAP funds?

- Yes
- No
- Maybe

Would the County continue to invest in this program without OCAP funds?

- Yes
- No



Children and Family Services

In what languages was this program offered?

Languages				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English	<input type="checkbox"/> Arabic	<input type="checkbox"/> Armenian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cambodian	<input type="checkbox"/> Cantonese
<input type="checkbox"/> Farsi	<input type="checkbox"/> Hmong	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Korean	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandarin	<input type="checkbox"/> Russian
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spanish	<input type="checkbox"/> Tagalog	<input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese	<input type="checkbox"/> Sign Language	<input type="checkbox"/> Punjabi
Other Languages: Japanese, German, Italian, Swedish, Swahili, Taiwanese, Igbo				

Do you record demographic data by families OR by children and parents/caregivers?

- Families
 Children and Parents/Caregivers

Number of Participates Receiving Services

Ethnicity	Number of Children	Number of Children with Disabilities	Number of Parents/ Caregivers	Number of Parents/ Caregivers with Disabilities
White (non-Hispanic)	1	0	1	0
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	1	0
Black or African American (non-Hispanic)	0	0	2	0
Asian	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Two or More Race	1	0	1	0
Total Counts	2	0	5	0

Please provide insight into any increase or decrease in service counts (compared to last year)

Unable to compare as the prior year's reports data was based upon Funding Sources and this year's criteria is now based upon Service Categories. The County will be able to provide a comparison on the 2015/16 report.



Children and Family Services

Service Addressed which County Identified Need:

Lack of Services/Resources

Desired Primary Outcome

- Children's Social and emotional development needs are met
- Increased knowledge of parenting and child development
- Families have concrete support in times of need
- Increased parental resilience
- Increased social connections
- No specified outcome
- Nurturing and attachment
- Other

How was this outcome measured?

- Family Development Matrix
- Protective factors survey
- This outcome was not tracked and/or measured
- CWS/CMS
- Pre- and/or post-survey developed in-house
- Validated assessment tool
- Other

Enter the name of the other assessment tool:

CWS/CMS, post assessment survey, and ETO to track participant demographics and service received

Did you experience any unexpected challenges with this activity?

- Yes
- No

Did you experience any unexpected benefits with this activity?

- Yes
- No



Children and Family Services

Please share one participant success story related to this program. Include client demographics, presenting issues, and the specific success the participant achieved as a result of this program. :

In June 2014, a call came in to the Child & Adult Abuse Hotline regarding minor parents and their newborn child. The reporting party alleged the child looks unhealthy and thin for his age (2 months) and the mother indicated she could not get Medi-Cal for the infant because she does not live in her own parent's home. The parents are living with the paternal grandmother in a one bedroom apartment.

The SW went out to the home and also felt the child looked unusually proportioned and thought the child should be examined at the Children's Assessment Center. The child was removed on allegations of general neglect due to lack of nutrition for the child.

At the JD hearing the court approved the recommended case plan which included life skills classes, parenting education, individual counseling and anger management.

In February 2015, parents were involved in Life Skills, Counseling, Domestic Violence, Parenting Class and working on completion of their high school diploma. The mother moved back in with the maternal grandmother and the father was living in a studio motel room with the paternal grandmother and his brother. The parents made some overall progress most notably in their personal hygiene. They were also co-parenting and keeping up with visitation.

The parents, although young, were benefitting from the classes that they were attending. In July 2015, the social worker reported the parents had shown a great deal of growth in their maturity and understanding. They had stable housing and were working on completing high school. The parents had also started unsupervised visits one day and week. The worker also reported the parents had made significant progress on addressing the issues that brought the child into the child welfare system and believed there is a substantial chance parents will be able to reunify with the child within six month.

Target Population

Child Welfare Category

- Child Welfare Involved CWS Families
- Non-CWS Involved
- Both

What Percentage was Non-CWS?

0%



Children and Family Services

Target Population

- At Risk Children
- At Risk Parents
- At Risk Families
- Providers
- Community At-Large
- Children
- Families
- Special Populations
- Other (Please specify)